

www.gi.sanu.ac.rs, www.doiserbia.nb.rs J. Geogr. Inst. Cvijic. 2020, 70(3), pp. 227–240



Original scientific paper

Received: August 4, 2020 Reviewed: October 26, 2020 Accepted: November 18, 2020 UDC: 911.37:314.8 https://doi.org/10.2298/IJGI2003227D



CHANGES IN THE HIERARCHY OF SETTLEMENTS AND ATTITUDE OF THE LOCAL POPULATION: EVIDENCE FROM A DEVELOPING REGION

Smiljana Đukičin Vučković¹, Jelena Milanković Jovanov¹*, Ljubica Ivanović Bibić¹, Jasmina Đorđević¹, Tijana Đorđević¹, Igor Stamenković¹

¹University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Geography, Tourism and Hotel Management, Novi Sad, Serbia; e-mails: smiljanadjukicin@gmail.com; milankovicjovanov@gmail.com; ljubicaivanovicns@yahoo.com; jasminadjordjevic@live.com; dordevictijana@gmail.com; igorrrogi@yahoo.com

Abstract: In post-socialist European countries, smaller cities were most vulnerable to economic restructuring during the transition years, as a result of which they suffered political and economic changes. These changes significantly influenced the hierarchy of these settlements in the settlement system. The phenomenon of urban hierarchy and changes in the hierarchy of settlements are rare research topics in Serbia. In this research, Kolubara District (in Western Serbia) is used as a case study because it has a good traffic position, as well as good potential for industrial development, but despite this, its inhabitants migrate to larger cities. This paper tests Schmook's method for the centrality of settlements. A survey was used to examine the attitude of the local population in regard to the centrality and hierarchy of settlements. A closed type questionnaire was used. The results show that the local population has a positive attitude toward the functional development of the cities in the Kolubara District.

Keywords: settlement hierarchy; centrality of the settlements; urban development; Kolubara District; Serbia

Introduction

The effectiveness of the urban system in any country largely depends on the size distribution of the urban centers and the distance between them (Jefferson, 1939). Not all the settlements in a particular area have the same level of importance. Some settlements are differentiated by their centrality, and in terms of their function they are more important than others. Smaller settlements need to fight for their position in the network because they are peripheral and do not have some of the more important city functions in them. In Central and Eastern European countries, medium-sized and smaller cities were very vulnerable to economic changes during the transition years (Burdack & Knappe, 2007; Castells, 2002). In Saxony, it was inevitable that the small towns suffered from a difficult legacy when they didn't have enough city functions. Large number of small towns in Central and Eastern Europe were settlements with no definite urban development perspective (Burdack, 2010). In Estonia, the major cities, Tallinn and Tartu, have traditionally been the main destinations for education-related and employment-

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail: milankovicjovanov@gmail.com

related migration. The loss of markets and economic restructuring processes in small towns, changed the settlement systems (Leetmaa, Kriszan, Nuga, & Burdack, 2015).

The situation is the same in smaller Serbian towns. In Serbia, there has been a marked influence of large cities on smaller settlements, which has a significant impact on the hierarchical relations between them. There is a strong gravitational pull of large cities in Serbia, such as Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš, and Kragujevac, which is the same issue faced by many European states (Stamenković, 2004). In addition, Serbia is going through intensive processes of depopulation and population aging (Derčan et al., 2017; Hirt & Petrović, 2011; Vujnić, 2014). The disproportion in the demographic size of the major cities is a consequence of the divergence and asymmetry of Serbia's settlements. The dominance of Belgrade and Novi Sad shows the index of urban primarity to be 6.3 (Derčan et al., 2017; Miljanović, Miletić, & Dorđević, 2010; Tošić & Nevenić, 2007).

In this paper, Kolubara District is taken as a case study (Figure 1). This district comprises six municipalities. The largest municipality in Kolubara District is Valjevo (Stančetić, 2009; Uredba o nomenklaturi statističkih teritorijalnih jedinica, 2009, 2010). According to the 2011 Census and the Ranksize rule, known also as Zipf's law rule, Belgrade is the first, Novi Sad is the second, Niš is the third, and Valjevo (center of Kolubara District) is the 14th ranked city (Derčan et al., 2017). This case study has been taken into consideration because, as it is mentioned above (examples of Estonia and Central Germany), a large number of small towns in post-socialist countries encountered the problem to lose economic



Figure 1. Geographic position of Kolubara District in Serbia. From "The development of transport infrastructure and attitudes of the local population: a case study from the Republic of Serbia," by Đukičin et al., 2018, *Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography, 118*(1), p. 103.

functions and population. Therefore, this case study from Serbia is not a local matter, but it deals with a problem identified by the authors across Europe (Burdack, 2010; Burdack & Knappe, 2007; Leetmaa et al., 2015).

Kolubara District was taken as a case study since it has much potential due to its favorable traffic position, numerous opportunities, and resources for the development of industry and mining (Đukičin, Đorđević, & Milanković, 2014; Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018). This paper studies centrality and hierarchy of the settlements, as well as the citizens' perception of the region they live in. Namely, understanding of the local population's attitudes can give some guidance in planning and developing of the secondary, tertiary, and quaternary sector. The development of these sectors in settlements makes their position in the hierarchy better. Study of the attitudes of the local population is a type of research which has been increasing in recent years (Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018; Kirkpatrik, Davison, & Daniels, 2012; Ryan, 2006; Santos & Buzinde, 2007).

The main hypothesis of the paper is that changes in demographic indicators greatly influence the hierarchy of settlements in some area. The second hypothesis is that negative changes in the hierarchy of the settlements affect the local population in different ways causing the moving of the population to larger centers and leaving the area. One of the solutions for this could be to strengthen the country economy and the economy of smaller centers in the area.

Over four fifths of Kolubara District consist of hilly and mountainous area, and a little less than a fifth is plainland (Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018). Kolubara District covers the area of 2,474 km². According to the 2011 population census, the number of the inhabitants in the district was 174,513 (Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia [SORS], 2012a). The population density is 70.5 people per km² (Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018; SORS, 2012b).

Data and methods

To show the connections between the lack of active population in secondary, tertiary, and quaternary sectors and the processes of depopulation, the population number, age structure, and migration flows in Kolubara District were analyzed. When determining the hierarchical relations among the settlements, activities in the secondary sector and the centrality of the settlement were taken as parameters. The secondary sector, primarily industry, greatly influences the growth and development of a settlement, and its distinctive features strengthen differentiation within a network of settlements (Vresk, 1980). The industry has always been a factor influencing the location of other functions in a settlement (Đukičin, Milanković, & Đorđević, 2011). Research into the significance of the secondary sector in the network was carried out using a quantitative method. Schmook's method was applied to the secondary sector which is the most accurate method for determining developing parameters (Derčan et al., 2017; Vresk, 1980). The results obtained were based on the formula:

$$SSA = An \cdot (SCn/An - SCr/Ar)$$
(1)

The details of the formula are as follows: An – active population in the settlement, SCn – active population in the secondary sector in the settlement, SCr – active population in the secondary sector in the district, Ar – active population in the district.

A quantitative method based on the activity of the population in the different sectors was used to determine the centrality of the settlements in Kolubara District. One of the procedures that was successfully applied was Schmook's method, which is the most accurate method for determining these parameters. In this method centrality was calculated using the following formula:

$$C = An \cdot (TQn/An - TQr/Ar)$$
⁽²⁾

The elements of this formula are as follows: An – active population in the settlement, TQn – active population in the tertiary/quaternary sector in the settlement, TQr – active population in the tertiary/quaternary sector in the district, Ar – active population in the district. Greater SSA and C values mean a higher position of the settlement in the hierarchy (Vresk, 2002). Official data from the censuses of population (1971, 1991, 2002, and 2011) were used.

In order to investigate how much changes in the hierarchy of settlements affect the local population and to find out the attitudes of the population toward these changes, a questionnaire was used. The sample included 0.1% of the population (176 inhabitants of the district). The research was conducted during 2017 and the first four months of 2018. The questionnaires were anonymous. The sample was randomly stratified. The share of the respondents from different socio-demographic

groups was proportional to their share in the Kolubara District population according to the last population census (SORS, 2012a, 2012b, 2013a). Cronbach's alpha test value was .81 which is a tolerable level of validity (Miščević-Kadijević, 2009). The questionnaire was divided into two groups of items (socio-demographic items and the attitudes of respondents). To research the attitudes of respondents, a Likert-type scale was applied. The scale was from one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree). The obtained data were analyzed statistically in Version 20 of IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (IBM Corp., 2011). A descriptive statistical analysis followed by a *t*-test analysis for independent samples (Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018; Sheldon & Abenoja, 2001) and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the post-hoc Scheffe test was used (Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018; Pec, 1981; Vargas-Sánchez, Porras-Bueno, & Plaza-Mejía, 2011).

Results and discussion

The analysis of the population started with the year 1948. That year was taken as starting point because the most intensive changes in Kolubara District have happened since then. As shown in Figure 2, the population number decrease in Kolubara District has been visible since the eighties.



Figure 2. Number of the population in Kolubara district from 1948 to 2011. From *Population Census, 2002: Comparative overview of the number of population 1948, 1953, 1961, 1971, 1981, 1991, and 2002,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2004b (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2002/Pdf/G20024009.pdf); *Population Census, 2011: Population According to Ethnicity and Gender,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2012a (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2012/Pdf/G20124001.pdf).

The modern trend of social development shows migrations to be a very important component among the main demographic categories with significant consequences for the areas they are taking place in. During the observed period (Table 1), the share of the indigenous population was decreasing at the expense of an increasing migrant population.

The indigenous and migrant population of Kolubara District in Census years

Census years	Deputation in total	Indige	nous	Migrant		
	Population in total	Total	%	Total	%	
1971	202,990	127,591	62.9	75,399	37.1	
1991	196,556	111,815	56.9	84,741	43.1	
2011	174,513	102,828	58.9	71,685	41.1	

Note. Adapted from *Population Census, 1971: Migrations*, by Federal Statistical Office, 1974b, Belgrade; *Population by age and gender*, by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 1994 (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1991/Pdf/G19914023.pdf); *Population census, 2011: Migration*, by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2013 (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2013/Pdf/G20134010.pdf).

Table 1

The conclusion is that in the last two or three decades, migration processes in Kolubara District have been quite common. Figure 3 clearly illustrate that population age in Kolubara District was growing in the respective census years. In 2011, the average age of inhabitants in Kolubara District was of 43.4.



Figure 3. Age-structure of the population in Kolubara District according to the 1971, 1991, and 2011 Censuses. From *Population Census, 1971: Sex and age,* by Federal Statistical Office, 1974a (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1973/Pdf/G19734003.pdf); *Population census, 1991: Population by age and gender,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 1994 (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1991/Pdf/G19914023.pdf); *Population Census, 2011: Age and gender,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2012b (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2012/Pdf/G20124002.pdf).

Analyzing the share of the economically active population in Kolubara District (Figure 4) a decrease can be noticed in the number of economically active population and an increase in the number of people with a personal income.



Figure 4. The population by economic activities in 1971 and 2011. From *Population Census, 1971: Activity,* by Federal Statistical Office, 1974c (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1974/Pdf/); *Population Census, 2011: Economic activity,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2013b, (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2013/Pdf/G20134007.pdf).

Table 2 illustrates the absolute numbers and shares of inhabitants of primary, secondary, and tertiary/quaternary sectors in the total population by municipalities of Kolubara District in 1971, 1991, and 2011.

Table 2

Absolute numbers and shares of inhabitants of primary, secondary	, and tertiary/quaternary sectors by
municipalities of Kolubara District	

	1971		1991		2011	
Municipality	Absolute	% Absolute %		%	Absolute	%
wanicipality	number	70	number	70	number	70
		Primary s	sector			
Valjevo	26,091	57.58	16,821	35.66	9,605	27.06
Lajkovac	7,064	70.53	3,643	56.75	2,006	30.18
Mionica	8,167	78.11	4,990	62.25	2,929	49.63
Ljig	11,142	89.39	6,800	72.76	3,594	56.70
Osečina	10,903	89.18	7,649	76.95	4,327	71.43
Ub	17,865	84.36	12,350	71.67	6,739	54.12
		Secondary	v sector			
Valjevo	8,728	19.26	15,920	33.75	9,651	27.19
Lajkovac	778	7.77	1,217	18.96	2,330	35.05
Mionica	825	7.89	1,544	19.26	1,304	22.09
Ljig	212	1.70	1,399	14.97	1,121	17.68
Osečina	262	2.14	1,117	11.24	781	12.89
Ub	508	2.40	2,275	13.20	2,190	17.59
		Tertiary/quater	rnary sector			
Valjevo	8,062	17.79	14,350	30.42	13,616	38.35
Lajkovac	1,741	17.38	1,536	23.93	1,774	26.69
Mionica	1,142	10.92	1,466	18.29	1,374	23.28
Ljig	745	5.98	1,141	12.21	1,329	20.97
Osečina	618	5.05	1,169	11.76	810	13.37
Ub	1,685	7.96	2,601	15.09	2,867	23.02

Note. Adapted from *Population Census, 1971: Activity,* by Federal Statistical Office, 1974c (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1974/Pdf/G19744003.pdf); *Population Census, 1991: Population by age and sex,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1991/Pdf/G19914023.pdf); *Population Census, 2011: Industry,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2014 (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2014/Pdf/G20144002.pdf) *Data for Unknown activities are not included in shares of primary, secondary, and tertiary/quaternary sectors in Census years 1971, 1991, and 2011 in this research.

Based on SSA formula, the importance of the secondary sector for municipal centers was calculated for the 1971 and 2002 censuses. The results are shown in Table 3. The most recent census of the Serbian population, the one from 2011, does not provide data on the activities of the population at settlement level, but instead only summarizes them at the level of municipalities. For this reason, the results in Tables 3 and 4 show the values for 1971 and 2002. There are no major changes in the SSA (secondary sector activities) and C (centrality) values in 2011, indicating that the same parameters for individual municipal centers would not show significantly different values to those from the 2002 census (SORS, 2014).

Table 3

Municipal centre	SSA 1971	SSA 2002
Valjevo	3,540.0	2,322.6
Lajkovac	46.6	323.2
Ljig	29.2	43.5
Mionica	-21.2	91.8
Osečina	68.0	190.7
Ub	-47.8	289.8

Importance of the secondary sector in municipal settlements in Kolubara District according to Schmook's method for the 1971 and 2002 censuses

Note. Adapted from *Population Census, 1971: Activity,* by Federal Statistical Office, 1974c (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1974/Pdf/G19744003.pdf); *Population Census, 2002: Activity and gender of the active population and their occupations,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2004a (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2002/Pdf/G20024006.pdf)

As seen in Table 3, Valjevo, the municipal center, showed the greatest importance with regard to the secondary sector, and it therefore had the highest position in the hierarchy. This situation is expected, but it is noteworthy that the significance of the secondary sector had a lower value in 2002 than in 1971. This is explained by a growth in the importance of tertiary/quaternary activities in Valjevo as the municipal center and the center of Kolubara District.



Figure 5. The significance of the secondary sector in municipal settlements in the Kolubara District using Schmook's method for the 1971 and 2002 censuses.

The growth in the value for the significance of the secondary sector in the given period in Lajkovac is interesting. Even as early as in 1971, according to its activities, Lajkovac was a settlement that was distinctly non-agricultural. Thus, it could be concluded that its activities in the secondary and tertiary/quaternary sectors were quite significant in this settlement. Table 4 shows the centrality of municipal centers in Kolubara District in 1971 and 2002.

As seen in Table 4, Valjevo had the greatest centrality. The difference in value for the centrality of Valjevo between 1971 and 2002 was huge (2,735.6). This points to the fact that the centrality, or the significance of tertiary/quaternary activities in Valjevo, almost doubled during the given period. The centrality of Valjevo is more than 35 times higher than the centrality of other municipal settlements in Kolubara District. According to Matijević (2005), because of its functions, Valjevo has the dominant role.

	Та	bl	е	4
--	----	----	---	---

entrality of municipal settlements in Kolubara District using Schmook's method for the 1971 and 2002 censuses							
Municipal centre	1971 census	2002 census					
Valjevo	3,668.4	6,404.0					
Lajkovac	636.8	54.2					
Ljig	446.4	175.5					
Mionica	264.2	82.6					
Osečina	212.0	-43.5					
Ub	755.7	168.3					

Note. Adapted from *Population Census, 1971: Activity,* by Federal Statistical Office, 1974c (https://publikacije.stat. gov.rs/G1974/Pdf/G1974003.pdf); *Population Census, 2002: Activity and gender of the active population and their occupations,* by Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia, 2004a (https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2002/Pdf/G20024006.pdf).

When observing other settlements in Kolubara District, a significant decrease in the value for centrality can be seen in all of them. Figure 6 is given to illustrate the comparison of C values at the level of municipalities.



Figure 6. Centrality of municipal settlements in Kolubara District using Schmook's method for the 1971 and 2002 censuses.

Ljig and Mionica experienced a decrease in their centrality value for the given period, but at the same time, no increase in the SSA value was recorded. In these municipal centers there is still a large share of the population engaged in agriculture.

Of the 176 respondents, who filled the questionnaire, 48.3% were male and 51.7% female. The largest share (40.9%) consisted of the inhabitants over 51. Second-ranked was the population aged 26–50 (33%), and the third-ranked was population under the age of 25, only 26.1%. The largest portion of the respondents completed secondary education (65.9%), and 22.2% had only an elementary education. Only 11.9% of the respondents had a college or university education. The distribution of the respondents according to the municipalities was Valjevo 51.1%, Ub 17%, Lajkovac 9.1%, Ljig and Mionica 8% each, Osečina 6.8%.

Results of the t-test

When examining the statements from the questionnaire, there was no statistically relevant difference by genders. The female respondents agreed most strongly with the following statement: "Aging, migration, and unemployment are the greatest cause of the stagnation in the development of Kolubara District" (mean response value 4.51). The female respondents disagreed most strongly with the following statement: "The proximity of Belgrade, its expansion, and gravitational area have a strong influence on Kolubara District" (mean response value 3.00). There is a large number of studies that showed different opinion between the genders (Đukičin Vučković et al., 2018; Kirkpatrick et al., 2012).

Results of one-way analysis of variance – ANOVA

The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to explore if there was a statistical difference between the dependent and independent variables. Table 5 shows five statements and three of them show that there was a statistically significant difference in the answers.

Table 5

Results of the analysis of variance ANOVA: attitudes of the local population of different educational levels toward settlements hierarchy

Statement	Educational attainment	М	σ	F	p
	Elementary school	4.41	0.637		
The poor economic situation has a strong negative effect on the development	Secondary school	4.35	1.065	0.049	.952
of Kolubara District.	College/University education	4.38	1.117		
The proximity of Belgrade, its expansion and gravitational area have a strong influence on Kolubara District.	Elementary school	3.54	1.253		
	Secondary school	2.90	1.404	3.237	.042*
	College/University education	3.05	1.322		
If more investments were made in the development	Elementary school	3.85	1.065		
of smaller municipalities in the district, residents would not move to Valjevo or outside the district.	Secondary school	4.49	0.880	7.683	.001*
	College/University education	4.48	0.680		

Continued					
Statement	Educational attainment	М	σ	F	p
Some city functions need to be moved from Valjevo to other municipal centers in order to support their development.	Elementary school	3.44	1.231		
	Secondary school	3.92	1.166	3.673	.027*
	College/University education	4.19	0.873		
Aging, migration, and unemployment are the	Elementary school	4.26	0.850		
greatest cause of the stagnation in the development of Kolubara District.	Secondary school	4.55	0.838	2.133	.122
	College/University education	4.33	0.730		

Tabla 5

 $*p < .05; F \ge 3.00.$

Table 6 presents the questionnaire results for inhabitants from the Kolubara District municipalities.

Table 6

Results of the analysis of variance ANOVA: attitudes of the local population from different municipalities toward settlements hierarchy

Statement	Municipality	М	σ	F	р
	Valjevo	4.39	0.956		
	Lajkovac	4.63	0.719		
The poor economic situation has a strong negative	Ljig	4.64	0.633	1 1 2 0	
effect on the development of Kolubara District.	Mionica	4.36	1.151	1.139	.342
	Osečina	4.42	0.996		
	Ub	4.03	1.217		
	Valjevo	2.96	1.306	1.884	.100
	Lajkovac	3.69	1.352		
The proximity of Belgrade, its expansion and	Ljig	2.71	1.490		
gravitational area have a strong influence on Kolubara District	Mionica	2.57	1.505		
	Osečina	2.92	1.240		
	Ub	3.47	1.456		
	Valjevo	4.14	0.978		
	Lajkovac	4.69	0.602		
If more investments were made in the development	Ljig	4.29	0.914	2.421	.038*
of smaller municipalities in the district, residents would not move to Valievo or outside the district	Mionica	4.86	0.363		
,	Osečina	4.50	0.798		
	Ub	4.50	1.075		

Table 6

Continued

Statement	Municipality	М	σ	F	р
	Valjevo	3.60	1.169		
	Lajkovac	4.31	1.014		
Some city functions need to be moved from Valjevo to other municipal centers in order to support their development. Aging, migration, and unemployment are the greatest cause of the stagnation in the development of Kolubara District.	Ljig	4.07	1.072	2 0 2 4	010*
	Mionica	4.29	1.139	2.024	.010"
	Osečina	3.42	1.379		
	Ub	4.20	1.031		
	Valjevo	4.33	0.807		
	Lajkovac	4.19	1.223	2.032	.077
	Ljig	4.79	0.579		
	Mionica	4.57	0.938		
	Osečina	4.83	0.389		
	Ub	4.63	0.765		

 $*p < .05; F \ge 3.00.$

The process of urbanization, the growth of urban settlements, and the movement of people from smaller to larger settlements are all connected with the general numerical decrease of inhabitants. The intensive migration processes in this district are the result of urbanization. As stated by Vresk (2002), settlements with positive SSA and C values have a more prominent position in the hierarchy of settlements: the greater SSA and C values, the higher the position in the hierarchy.

Local population attitudes are in accordance with demographic statistics. The analysis of the results obtained by the *t*-test showed that the level of agreement among the respondents with the statement that the strengthening of Valjevo and smaller centers in the district positively affects the hierarchical position of the whole district. Although the results did not show statistically significant differences between the respondents of different genders, based on the average values of the answers it can be concluded that they have different attitudes toward the issue. It was expected that there would be statistically significant differences among the respondents with different levels of education and different places of residence, as these are groups that are much more sensitive to the position of the settlement in the network and the hierarchical relations between the settlements.

There isn't a statistically significant difference between age groups. The inhabitants under the age of 25 strongly agree with the statement: "Aging, migration, and unemployment are the greatest cause of the stagnation in the development of Kolubara District." Such results indicate that younger individuals recognize all the weaknesses of underdeveloped areas. It was predictable that the ANOVA results would show differences in the answers of people with different educational attainment. This indicates that educational attainment is an important issue in the explanation of the population opinion (Perry, Link, Boelter, & Leukefeld, 2012). Statistically significant differences among the respondents from different municipalities were reported, since the population from Valjevo, on one hand, and smaller municipal settlements on the other, are aware of the difference and inequality in the position, functions and potential of the settlements in which they live. Here the correlation between the values for SSA and centrality (Tables 3 and 4) and the answers of the

respondents can be clearly seen. These results can be used by other countries to identify the problems of small towns that are economically and functionally weakened (Burdack, 2010; Burdack & Knappe, 2007; Leetmaa et al., 2015). Urban primacy and changes in smaller settlement hierarchy are important questions in different countries, and this case study has similar findings as some previous studies (Sarkar & Maji, 2013; Yousuf & Shah, 2014).

Conclusion

A large number of post-socialist countries have encountered the problem of inequality in the development of the large, medium, and small urban settlements. In recent decades, in countries in transition and development, there has been a large movement of the population from smaller to larger towns and cities, resulting in smaller towns losing their young and working population and facing a struggle with aging population. Those towns are facing a struggle with an aging population and losing their significance in the network of settlements.

In Serbia, there is insufficient investment in the development of smaller and medium-sized towns. A large number of city functions are moved from smaller to larger towns/cities, resulting in the centralization of important functions in larger cities which then have primacy. Kolubara District is a typical example of this.

Despite its favorable position, good opportunities for industrial development, and possibilities for the development of the service industry, the proximity of Belgrade, as the capital of Serbia and the strong influence of Valjevo, as the center of the district, it does not allow smaller municipal settlements in Kolubara District to be significantly positioned in the hierarchy of settlements. In the given period, smaller towns in the district record growth in activities in the secondary sector, but at the same time record a significant reduction in the centrality value, which indicates an insufficient presence of tertiary/quaternary activities within them. Very similar indicators were recorded in some European countries (Estonia and Germany) during the first transition decades.

The local population is aware of the problem in which smaller municipal centers find themselves in Kolubara District and the opinions of the local population support the idea to move tertiary/quaternary activities from larger settlements to smaller ones in order for the smaller settlements to gain greater significance in the network of settlements.

European countries that have overcome the weaknesses of small towns have strategies to cope with the problem of changes in the urban hierarchy. In Serbia, there is still no strategy of that kind. The relevant state authorities do not have an adequate mechanism for the equal development of all the districts and settlements in Serbia.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Project 142-451-2165/2019-2 of the Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development, ECAP Vojvodina.

References

- Burdack, J. (2010). Small town research and small town development: an introduction. In A. Borsig, J. Burdack, & E. Knappe (Eds.), *Small Towns in Eastern Europe: Local Networks and Urban Development* (pp. 7–12). Leipzig, Germany: Leibniz-Institut für Länderkunde.
- Burdack, J., & Knappe, E. (2007). The development of small towns in central Europe and the Baltic States. *Geografiski Raksti, 13*(1), 25–35.

- Castells, M. (2002). Local and global: Cities in the network society. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, 93(5), 548–558. Retrieved from https://www.dhi.ac.uk/san/waysofbeing/data/citizenship-robson-castells-2001.pdf
- Derčan, B., Radaković, M., Ostojić, M., Mirković, M., Obrenov, S., & Vozar, J. (2017). Urban hierarchy in Serbia. Researches Reviews of the Department of Geography, Tourism and Hotel Management, 46(1), 11–23. https://doi.org/10.5937/ZbDght1701012D
- Đukičin, S., Đorđević, J., & Milanković Jovanov, J. (2014). Spatial and social changes caused by the continuous exploitation of lignite in the Kolubara lignite basin, the Republic of Serbia. Acta geographica Slovenica, 54(1), 41–49. https://doi.org/10.3986/AGS54102
- Đukičin, S., Milanković, J., & Đorđević, J. (2011). Contemporary Business Trends and Industrial Transformation in Slovenia on the Examples of Maribor and Celje. *Geographica Pannonica*, 15(3), 81–89. https://doi.org/10.5937/ GeoPan1103081D
- Đukičin Vučković, S., Đorđević, J., Milanković Jovanov, J., Ivanović Bibić, Lj., Protić, B., Đorđević, T., & Ivkov, M. (2018). The development of transport infrastructure and attitudes of the local population: a case study from the Republic of Serbia. *Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography*, *118*(1), 101–113. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00167223.2017.1419369
- Federal Statistical Office. (1965). *Popis stanovništva, domaćinstava i stanova u 1961. godini, Migracije* [Census of population, households and dwellings in 1961, Migrations]. Belgrade, Serbia: Federal Statistical Office.
- Federal Statistical Office. (1974a). Popis stanovništva i stanova 1971., Pol i starost I deo [Census of population and dwellings, 1971, Sex and age – I part]. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1973/Pdf/G19734003.pdf
- Federal Statistical Office. (1974b). *Popis stanovništva i stanova 1971., Migracije* [Census of population and dwellings, 1971, Migrations]. Belgrade, Serbia: Federal Statistical Office.
- Federal Statistical Office. (1974c). *Popis stanovništva i stanova 1971., Delatnost* [Census of population and dwellings, 1971, Activity]. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1974/Pdf/G19744003.pdf
- Hirt, S., & Petrović, M. (2011). The Belgrade Wall: The Proliferation of Gated Housing in the Serbian Capital after Socialism. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 35(4), 753–777. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1468-2427.2011.01056.x
- IBM Corp. (2011). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 20). [Computer software]. Retrieved from https://www.ibm.com/support/pages/downloading-ibm-spss-statistics-20
- Jefferson, M. (1939). The law of the primate city. *Geographical Review*, 29(2), 226–232. https://doi.org/ 10.2307/209944
- Kirkpatrick, J. B., Davison, A., & Daniels, G. D. (2012). Resident attitudes towards trees influence the planting and removal of different types of trees in eastern Australian cities. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 107(2), 147– 158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.05.015
- Leetmaa, K., Kriszan, A., Nuga, M., & Burdack, J. (2015). Strategies to Cope with Shrinkage in the Lower End of the Urban Hierarchy in Estonia and Central Germany. *European Planning Studies*, 23(1), 147–165. https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2013.820100
- Matijević, D. (2005). Urban-geographical processes in the network of settlements for the Ljig municipality. *Bulletin of the Serbian Geographical Society, 85*(2), 87–96. https://doi.org/10.2298/GSGD0502087M
- Miljanović, D., Miletić, R., & Đorđević, J. (2010). Regional inequality in Serbia as a development problem. Acta geographica Slovenica, 50(2), 253–275. https://doi.org/10.3986/AGS50204
- Miščević-Kadijević, G. (2009). Development of declarative and procedural knowledge test. *Psychology*, *42*(4), 535–547. https://doi.org/10.2298/PSI0904535M
- Pec, B. (1981). Osnovne statističke metode za nematematičare [Basic Statistical Methods for Non-mathematicians]. Zagreb, Croatia: Liber.
- Perry, B., Link, T., Boelter, C., & Leukefeld, C. (2012). Blinded to science: gender differences in the effects of race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status on academic and science attitudes among sixth graders. *Gender and Education*, 24(7), 725–743. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2012.685702
- Ryan, R. L. (2006). Comparing the attitudes of local residents, planners, and developers about preserving rural character in New England. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *75*(1–2), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2004.10.005
- Santos, C. A., & Buzinde, C. (2007). Politics of identity and space: Representational dynamics. *Journal of Travel Research*, 45(3), 322–332. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287506295949

- Sheldon, P. J., & Abenoja, T. (2001). Resident attitudes in a mature destination: the case of Waikiki. *Tourism Management*, *22*(5), 435–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(01)00009-7
- Stamenković, S. Đ. (2004). Neka aktuelna pitanja prostorne organizacije mreže naselja i relevantni demografski problemi u Srbiji [Some current questions of the spatial organization of the network of settlements and relevant demographic problems in Serbia]. Demography, 1, 115–134. Retrieved from http://demografija.gef.bg.ac.rs/ wp-content/uploads/2018/02/Dem12004-8.-Stamenkovic-Dj.-S..pdf
- Stančetić, B. (2009). *Region kao razvojna perspektiva u Evropskoj* uniji [Region as a developmental perspective in the European Union]. Belgrade, Serbia: The Official Gazette of the Republic of Serbia.
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (1994). *Population Census: Population by age and gender*. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G1991/Pdf/G19914023.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2004a). *Population Census, 2002: Activity and gender of the active population and their occupations*. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2002/Pdf/G20024006.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2004b). *Population Census, 2002: Comparative overview of the number of population 1948, 1953, 1961, 1971, 1981, 1991, and 2002.* Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2002/Pdf/G20024009.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2012a). *Population Census, 2011: Population According to Ethnicity and Gender*. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2012/Pdf/G20124001.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2012b). *Population Census, 2011: Age and gender*. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2012/Pdf/G20124002.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2013a). *Population Census, 2011: Educational attainment, literacy and computer literacy.* Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2013/Pdf/G20134001.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2013b). *Population Census, 2011: Economic activity*. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2013/Pdf/G20134007.pdf
- Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia. (2014). *Population Census, 2011: Industry*. Retrieved from https://publikacije.stat.gov.rs/G2014/Pdf/G20144002.pdf
- Tošić, D., & Nevenić, M. (2007). Nodalna regija instrument prostorno-funkcionalne organizacije Srbije [Nodal regions as an instrument of the spatial-functional organization of Serbia]. Journal of the Geographic Institute "Jovan Cvijić" SASA, 57, 297–307. https://doi.org/10.2298/IJGI0757297T
- Uredba o nomenklaturi statističkih teritorijalnih jedinica [The Regulation on the Nomenclature of Statistical Territorial Units]. (2009). Retrieved from https://www.stat.gov.rs/media/3516/uredba-nstj-cirilica-preciscentekst.pdf
- Uredba o nomenklaturi statističkih teritorijalnih jedinica [The Regulation on the Nomenclature of Statistical Territorial Units]. (2010). Retrieved from https://www.stat.gov.rs/media/3516/uredba-nstj-cirilica-preciscentekst.pdf
- Vargas-Sánchez, A., Porras-Bueno, N., & Plaza-Mejía, A. (2011). Explaining residents' attitudes to tourism: Is a universal model possible? *Annals of Tourism Research*, *38*(2), 460–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2010.10.004
- Vujnić, A. (2014). Regional development indicators, case study-Serbia. Researches Reviews of the Department of Geography, Tourism and Hotel Management, 43(1), 28–41. Retrieved from http://www.dgt.uns.ac.rs/dokumentacija/ zbornik/43-1/en/03.pdf
- Vresk, M. (1980). Osnove urbane geografije [An introduction to Urban Geography]. Zagreb, Croatia: Školska knjiga.
- Vresk, M. (2002). *Grad i urbanizacija: osnove urbane geografije* [City and Urbanization: An introduction to Urban Geography]. Zagreb, Croatia: Školska knjiga.
- Yousuf, T., & Shah, S. A. (2014). An analysis of urban primacy in Himalayan settlements: the case of Srinagar City of Jammu & Kashmir. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, 5(9), 1670–1674. Retrieved from http://www.recentscientific.com/sites/default/files/download_138.pdf